



REGIONAL AIRPORT STUDY

PUBLIC WORKSHOP PRESENTATION



San Francisco

Prepared for:

Regional Airport Planning Committee



Study Objectives and Critical Questions

- **Evaluate Strategies for Accommodating the Region's Long-Term Aviation Demand Without Building Additional Runways at the Primary Airports**
 - What are the capacity limits of the primary Bay Area airports?
 - When are these limits likely to be reached?
 - Which Scenarios (including alternative modes) offer the greatest potential to allow the region to efficiently accommodate future aviation demand?
- **Involve Stakeholders and the Public to Aid in Building a Regional Consensus**
- **Develop a Vision and Implementation Plan for the Region's Aviation System**
 - Includes study Recommendations
 - Addresses institutional issues



Topics

- Introduction
- Study Vision and Goals
- Major Airport System Planning Issues
- Results of Scenarios Analysis
- *Recommendations*

Vision

- Bay Area passengers will have a choice of more flights (or trains) at more airports
- There will be fewer weather-related flight delays
- Airport noise impacts on the regional population will be minimized
- Adverse air quality and climate change impacts will be minimized
- Surface travel to airports will take less time
- The airport system will support regional economic expansion

Each Scenario is Measured Against 7 Goals

- **Reliable Runways** *Can we reduce flight delays and passenger inconvenience?*

- **Healthy Economy** *Can the region serve future aviation demand and support a healthy economy?*

- **Good Passenger Service** *Can we provide better service to the region's major air travel markets?*

- **Convenient Airports** *Can we maintain or improve airport ground access times and distance?*


- **Climate Protection** *Can we decrease Greenhouse Gas (GHGs) emissions from aircraft and air passengers traveling to airports?*

- **Clean Air** *Can we decrease air pollution from aircraft and air passengers traveling to airports?*

- **Livable Communities** *Can we avoid increasing the regional population exposed to aircraft noise?*

Baseline Forecast of Bay Area Aviation Demand

Forecast Percent Change and Annual Activity
2007-2035




Key Planning Issues

- Delay Problems at SFO
 - Due to increased flights and poor weather
- Increased Airport Noise Impacts
 - Due to increased flights and population growth
 - SFO and SJC
- Growth in air emissions (GHGs/criteria pollutants)
 - Due to increased flights and air passenger trips to airports

Six Scenarios were Initially Analyzed to Serve Long-Range Demand

- **Airport Traffic Redistribution**
 - In response to delays at SFO, domestic traffic shifts from SFO to OAK and SJC through natural market forces
- **Internal Alternative Airports**
 - Some Bay Area passengers are served at secondary airports in the Bay Area region (Sonoma County, Travis AFB, and Buchanan) reducing demand at the primary airports
- **External Alternative Airports**
 - Service development at Sacramento, Stockton, and Monterey reduces passenger demand originating from outside the Bay Area region
- **High-Speed Rail**
 - Proposed rail service to Southern CA diverts air passengers from planes to trains
- **New ATC Technology**
 - FAA's NextGen technologies create more capacity during bad weather, reducing delays
- **Demand Management**
 - Demand Management strategies at SFO reduce small aircraft operations during the most delay prone times of the day

Potential Solutions for Serving 101 MAP in 2035 – Combined Scenarios A and B



Scenario A/B Features:


- ◆ Modest ATC Technology Improvements
 - ◆ Demand Management
 - ◆ Potential High-Speed Rail
- ◆ Greater Use of Sonoma County Airport

Share of Bay Area Passengers			
	OAK	SFO	SJC
Baseline	20.4%	63.5%	16.1%
Scenario A	22.8%	59.2%	18.0%
Scenario B	24.0%	56.1%	20.0%

Scenario Effectiveness versus Goals

Overall Effectiveness (highest to lowest)	Goal Strengths
Combined Scenario B with HSR	All Goals
Combined Scenario A with HSR	All Goals
Scenario B (no HSR)	Reliable Runways, Economy, Good Service, Clean Air
Scenario A (no HSR)	Reliable Runways, Economy, Clean Air, Livable Communities
High Speed Rail	Good Service, Climate Protection, Clean Air, Livable Communities
New ATC Technologies	Reliable Runways, Economy
Traffic Redistribution	Reliable Runways, Economy, Clean Air
Demand Management	Reliable Runways
Alternate Internal Airports	Good Service, Convenient Airports
Alternate External Airports	Convenient Airports

Potential Solutions for Serving 129 MAP in 2035 (High Forecast) – Combined Scenario C




Airport	2035 Passengers (millions)
SFO	65.0
OAK	28.0
SJC	24.0
HSR	8.4
External Airports	2.2
Sonoma County Airport	0.9
Bus Substitution	0.2
Total Bay Area	128.8

Scenario C Features:

- ◆ Full ATC Technology Improvements
- ◆ Aggressive Demand Management
 - ◆ High-Speed Rail
- ◆ Greater Use of Sonoma County Airport
- ◆ Greater Use of External Airports


Reliable Runways Goal – SFO Average Aircraft Delays for Major Scenarios

Average Aircraft Delays at SFO
(Minutes)



Livable Communities Goal – Scenario B Community Noise Exposure versus 2007


Population Inside 65 CNEL Noise Contour
2007 vs. 2035 Scenario B



Notes: Population projections are based on ABAG's 2009 Focus Growth projections and Scenario B includes projected increase in flights. No population exposure at OAK.

Some residences in the 65 CNEL contours for SFO and SJC have already been soundproofed.

SFO Noise Exposure Contours – 2007 Existing, 2035 Baseline, and 2035 Scenario B



Overview of Issues and Recommendations

- Recommendations reflect major issues that have been discussed during the study
- Recommendations are consistent with RAPC's advisory role
- Recommendations also address institutional issues

Issues and Recommendations, 1-3

- **#1: Changing conditions that alter long-range planning assumptions**
 - Track changes in forecasts, runway congestion
 - Use regional forecasts for airport planning
- **#2: Lack of regional mechanisms to influence airline decisions about airport service**
 - Regional Plans support Scenario B
 - RAPC should explore ways to engage airlines
 - Regional marketing program for OAK/SJC
- **#3: Difficulty implementing airport-originated demand management programs**
 - Future SFO airline agreements should not preclude congestion pricing
 - Bay Area may need to advocate for FAA controls if SFO's are not enough

Issues and Recommendations, 4-5

- **#4: Uncertainty regarding the timing and effectiveness of new ATC technologies**
 - FAA should provide regular updates to RAPC
 - RAPC should engage in advocacy for NextGen funding and Bay Area applications
 - Form coalitions with other regions experiencing major runway congestion problems
- **#5: Uncertainty regarding future HSR Plans and effectiveness of HSR**
 - Periodically review information on effectiveness of HSR in diverting air passengers
 - Encourage discussions between HSR Authority and airlines regarding joint ticketing arrangements

Issues and Recommendations, 6-7


- **#6: Uncertainty regarding future role of some alternative airports**
 - If demand increases faster than forecasted, RAPC may wish to update feasibility study for Travis AFB
 - Protect aviation capability of Moffett Federal Airfield (possible reliever general aviation airport or limited air cargo roles)
 - Continue to involve Sacramento, Stockton, and Monterey airports in our Bay Area planning process
- **#7: Projected increase in community noise exposure (2007-2035)**
 - Airports should confirm long-term noise trends from this study
 - Re-examine Focus Growth projections to lower regional population noise exposure
 - Given SFO's projected noise problem, new approaches may be needed (e.g., look at shifting more departures to Runway 1 for takeoff over the Bay; would require runway lengthening and some Bay fill)

Options for Institutional Arrangements

- **Bay Area airports ownership and operation**
- **Coordination will be necessary for many strategies**
 - Demand management
 - Redistribution
 - Air Traffic Control
 - High-speed rail
- **Options to achieve a more coordinated approach**
 - Regional Authority
 - Joint Powers Authority
 - Regional Airport Planning Committee



Appendix



Issues and Recommendations, 8-9

- #8: Projected increase in criteria pollutants and GHGs
 - Have BAAQMD provide RAPC with annual updates of aviation emissions to determine trends
 - RAPC should monitor legislation that would reduce aviation emissions and take supporting positions as appropriate
- #9: Effectiveness of RAPC as a regional aviation planning body
 - Develop MOU with Bay Area airports to define level of financial and staff support for RAPC's work program
 - Seek further FAA support for this program
 - Recommendations from Part 2 of Institutional Analysis (to be added)

Future Work Scope


High Priority

- Forecast Tracking System
- Multi-Region Air Passenger Survey
- Congestion Tracking System
- Regional Airspace Study
- Long-term Noise Mitigation Study (SFO)
- Focus Growth Review
- Monitor Demand Management approaches at other airports
- Institutional Analysis Follow-up

Forecast Tracking System

- Track actual traffic against forecast
- Determine what is driving the difference between actual and forecast
- Assess when the forecast level of 101M passengers will be achieved

Actual vs. Forecast Bay Area Passenger Demand
(Illustrative Example)



Future Work Scope (*cont'd*)

Medium Priority

- **Regional Airport Marketing Program**
- **Airport Pricing Analysis**
- **Travis AFB-Updated Feasibility Study (low/medium)**

Low Priority

- **New Airline Route Study (OAK/SJC)**
- **Reliever Airport Strategy**
- **Moffett Federal Airfield-General Aviation Study**
- **Regional Airport Economic Benefits Study**

